UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/697,760	10/30/2003	Minhua Lu	YOR920030499USI · (17075)	8778	
23389 SCULLY SCO	7590 08/16/200 TT MURPHY & PRES	EXAM	EXAMINER		
400 GARDEN CITY PLAZA SUITE 300 GARDEN CITY, NY 11530			NGO, HUYEN LE		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
O. H. D. I. V. O. I.		·	2871		
	·		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/16/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action					
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief					

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/697,760	LU ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Julie-Huyen L. Ngo	2871	

before the filling of all Appear Brief	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Julie-Huyen L. Ngo	2871			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED <u>01 August 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A		· ·			
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in (	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin	g date of the final rejection.				
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.					
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office laternay reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as		
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since		
AMENDMENTS	,				
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>They raise new issues that would require further co</li> </ol>	but prior to the date of filing a brief,	will <u>not</u> be entered b	ecause		
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below		i E below);			
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying	the issues for		
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	, -	ected claims.	•		
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1					
1. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).		
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		#			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).	•	•	•		
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of		
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>2-18</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).					
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	ils to provide a		
10.   The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio					
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered but	ut does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:		
See NOTE in 3(a) above.  12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).				
13.  Other:	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	husen			
		Julie Huyen L. Ngo			
		Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2871			

Continuation of 3. NOTE: New issues amended in the last parapraph of claim 4, regarding the second substrate having a FLAT SURFACE PROFILE and the ALIGNMENT of LC molecules, which is diifferent than what have been recited in canceled claim 1.